



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	TLING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/939,672	09/939,672 08/28/2001		Toshiyuki Hirota	WN-2345	4866
466	7590	03/25/2004		EXAM	INER
YOUNG &	tHOM	PSON	LUU, THANH X		
745 SOUTH	I 23RD ST	FREET 2ND FLOOR			
ARLINGTO	ON, VA	22202	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2878	
				DATE MAIL ED: 03/25/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$(\gamma\gamma)$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/939,672	HIROTA, TOSHIYUKI
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thanh X Luu	2878
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the meaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thir riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	1 January 2004.	
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und		•
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1,3-5,7-9 and 11-18 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15,17 and 18 is/as 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1,3-5,7-9 and 11-14 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and are subject to restriction and are subjected to by the Example 10) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on 28 August 2001 is/as Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the contraction is claim.	tre withdrawn from considerated.  d.  nd/or election requirement.  niner.  are: a) □ accepted or b) ☒ of the drawing(s) be held in abeyangetion is required if the drawing	bjected to by the Examiner. nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have beer reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  n received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	_	
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 012004.</li> </ol>	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action is in response to amendments and remarks filed January 21, 2004. Claims 1, 3-5, 7-9 and 11-18 are currently pending.

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Newly submitted claims 15, 17 and 18 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: the claimed invention does not require any peer-to-peer connection.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 15, 17 and 18 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

### **Drawings**

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the peer-to-peer connection via a transmission line; and the transmission line and switcher in Fig. 8 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 09/939,672 Page 3

Art Unit: 2878

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. It appears that Applicant has failed to describe an embodiment having a peer-to-peer connection via a transmission line in combination with having optical communication between the processing elements. Fig. 8 is described as an embodiment using optical communication. There is no mention of a transmission line in the optical communication embodiment of the invention. If in fact there is a peer-to-peer transmission line, it is also unclear if the transmission line is optical or electrical, and wherein the transmission line is located.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 09/939,672 Page 4

Art Unit: 2878

6. Claims 1, 3-5, 7, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Winegarden et al. (U.S. Patent 6,467,009).

Regarding claims 1, 3-5, 7, 13 and 14, Winegarden et al. disclose (see Figure 2) an LSI semiconductor device, comprising: a plurality of processing elements (210, 220, 230); and a single switcher (225) that connect each of the plural processing elements to each other; wherein each of the plural processing elements includes a network interface (arrows) and is connected to the single switcher via the network interface, wherein the plural processing elements are located at a plurality of sides of the single switcher, wherein one of the plural processing elements (220) and the single switcher are connected by a peer-to-peer connection via at least one transmission line, and since there is a connection path between the plural processors, it forms a system LSI. Winegarden et al. also disclose (see Figure 2) an LSI semiconductor device, comprising: a plurality of LSI peripheral input/output processing elements (210, 230); a core processor (220); and a single LSI switcher (225) that connects each of the plural peripheral processing elements and the core processor together as claimed. Winegarden et al. also disclose the elements are implemented in a single semiconductor chip or package (see Figure 1) to form a chip LSI. Since the processing elements are connected directly to the switcher, the elements have a function of the same hierarchical level.

7. Claims 1, 3-5, 7 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nguyen et al. (U.S. Patent 6,154,051).

Application/Control Number: 09/939,672

Art Unit: 2878

Regarding claims 1, 3-5, 7 and 13, Nguyen et al. disclose (see Figure 1) an LSI semiconductor device, comprising: a plurality of processing elements (141-144); and a single switcher (150) that connect each of the plural processing elements to each other; wherein each of the plural processing elements includes a network interface (145) and is connected to the single switcher via the network interface, wherein the plural processing elements are located at a plurality of sides of the single switcher, wherein one of the plural processing elements and the single switcher are connected by a peer-to-peer connection (denoted by double-ended arrows) via at least one transmission line, and since there is a connection path between the plural processors, it forms a system LSI. Nguyen et al. also disclose the elements are implemented in a single semiconductor chip or package to form a chip LSI. Since the processing elements are connected directly to the switcher, the elements have a function of the same hierarchical level.

8. Claims 9, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Winegarden et al.

Regarding claims 9, 11 and 12, Winegarden et al. disclose the invention as set forth above. Winegarden et al. do not specifically disclose a plurality of chips each having the processing elements and a single switcher and an inter-switcher as claimed. However, it is notoriously well known in the art to provide additional chips and to connect the chips to provide increased processing power. Further, it is a matter of design choice how the inter-switcher is implemented. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made add a plurality of

chips and connect them together in the apparatus of Winegarden et al. in view of AAPA to obtain a more powerful processing device. Further, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the interswitcher on one of the chips in the apparatus of Winegarden et al. to provide a more integral and compact configuration.

### Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2878

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh X Luu whose telephone number is (571) 272-2441. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-4:00) First Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Porta can be reached on (571) 272-2444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thanh X Luu Primary Examiner Page 7

Art Unit 2878